

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	EIDET MANGED DATES		
10/619,868		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	07/15/2003	Peter Frank	2105-00020	7775
	590 05/14/2004 CEALES, STARKE & S	ATTIATE	EXAMINER	
TOU EAST WIS	SCONSIN AVENUE, SUI	AWALL, LLP TE 1100	CYGAN, MICHAEL T	
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2855	
,			DATE MAILED, 05/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_		
Office Action Summary	10/619,868	FRANK, PETER			
oco / Guon Guinnary	Examiner	Art Unit	_		
The MAN INC DATE of the	Michael Cygan	2855			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	_		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.12 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period version for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a provide within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status			•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	action is non-linal.				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quavle 1935 C.D.	11 453 O.C. 212			
Disposition of Claims	A parto Quayro, 1000 O.D.	. 11, 433 O.G. 213.			
•	*				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>12 and 18</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers		· .			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠	accepted or b) object	ed to by the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to See 37 CER 1 121(d)			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		102.	•		
1					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit	y documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau ((PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	• •			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not re	eceived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [] lata = :ta ^	(DTO 440)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) L Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 17 November 2003. J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)	•			
	on Summary	Part of Paper No /Mail Date 05072004	_		

Application/Control Number: 10/619,868

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 7, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baker (GB 2,029,005). Baker discloses the claimed invention, a level detector comprising transparent housing containing a plurality of light emitters and a plurality of detectors which receive internally reflected light from the emitters via the housing and through a control system determine liquid level, where each detector can receive light from multiple emitters and each emitter can send light to multiple detectors; where the readings of the detectors are based inherently on a difference between ambient (background) current and the increased current upon light reception; and where multiple sensors are operable to be checked for indication of immersion; see page 1, line 65 through page 2, line 27 and Figures 1-4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/619,868

Art Unit: 2855

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker (GB 2,029,005) in view of Khotyaints (SU 1372189 A, Derwent abstract). Baker teaches the claimed invention including sequential actuation and end-to-end structure (page 2 lines 1-24), except for use of a shift register circuit. Khotyaints teaches the use of shift register circuit in an optical liquid level device (Derwent abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a shift register circuit as taught by Khotyaints in the invention taught by Baker to control the device, since Khotyaints teaches the advantages of accuracy, speed, and productivity.

Claims 8-11 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker (GB 2,029,005) in view of Smith (US 4,956,560). Baker teaches the claimed invention except for the use of a calibration. Smith teaches the use of calibration of light sensors in a liquid level system; see column 6 line 68 through column 7 line 10. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a calibration as taught by Smith in the invention of Baker to calibrate the light emitter/reception paths, since this allows quick fill level judgments to made automatically.

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker (GB 2,029,005) in view of Hastbacka (US 4,051,726). Baker teaches the

Application/Control Number: 10/619,868

Art Unit: 2855

claimed invention except for the use of a straight housing profile. Hastbacka teaches a straight housing profile in an internal reflection optical level sensor; see Figure 2. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a straight housing profile as taught by Hastbacka in the invention of Baker to calibrate the light emitter/reception paths, since this is stated in the abstract of Hastbacka to be a geometry causing total internal reflection when above liquid level and minimize internal reflection when immersed in the liquid.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art neither discloses nor fairly teaches a level detector having the claimed structure and operable to determine dirtiness of part of the sensor using calibration data, ambient reading, and operational reading.

Conclusión

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lee (US 5,274,245) and Gooley (US 4,119,860) disclose liquid level sensors having multiple emitters illuminating a detector from a reflected signal.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cygan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2175. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6 M-Th, alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Cygan Primary Examiner Art Unit 2855